

## The Recursion Theorem and Infinite Sequences

Arnold W. Miller <sup>1</sup>

## Abstract

In this paper we use the Recursion Theorem to show the existence of various infinite sequences and sets. Our main result is that there is an increasing sequence  $e_0 < e_1 < \dots$  such that  $W_{e_n} = \{e_{n+1}\}$  for every  $n$ . Similarly, we prove that there exists an increasing sequence such that  $W_{e_n} = \{e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \dots\}$  for every  $n$ . We call a nonempty computably enumerable set  $A$  self-constructing if  $W_e = A$  for every  $e \in A$ . We show that every nonempty computable enumerable set which is disjoint from an infinite computable set is one-one equivalent to a self-constructing set.

Kleene's Recursion Theorem says the following:

For any computable function  $f$  there exists an  $e$  with  $\psi_e = \psi_{f(e)}$ .

In this Theorem  $\langle \psi_e : e \in \omega \rangle$  is a standard computable numbering of all partial computable functions. For example,  $\psi_e$  might be the partial function computed by the  $e^{th}$  Turing machine. The number  $e$  is referred to as a fixed point for  $f$  and this theorem is also called the Fixed Point Theorem.

For a proof of Kleene's Theorem see any of the standard references, Cooper [1], Odifreddi [3], Rogers [4], or Soare [6]. See especially Smullyan [5] for many variants and generalizations of the fixed point theorem. The Recursion Theorem applies to all acceptable numberings (in the sense of Rogers, see Odifreddi [3] p.215-221). All natural enumerations are acceptable. We use  $W_e$  to denote the domain of  $\psi_e$  and hence  $\langle W_e : e \in \omega \rangle$  is a uniform computable listing of all computably enumerable sets.

The proof of the Recursion Theorem is short but tricky. It can be uniformized to yield what is called the Recursion Theorem with Parameters. The proof also yields an infinite computable set of fixed points by using the Padding Lemma. See Soare [6] pages 36-37.

We will use the following version of the Recursion Theorem with Parameters which includes a uniform use of the Padding Lemma:

---

<sup>1</sup>

**Lemma 1** *For any computable function  $f : \omega \times \omega \rightarrow \omega$  there is a computable function  $h : \omega \rightarrow \omega$  such that  $W_{h(x)}$  is an infinite computable set for every  $x$  and for every  $y \in W_{h(x)}$  we have that  $\psi_{f(x,y)} = \psi_y$ .*

The proof is left to the reader.

It is an exercise (see Miller [2] section 13) to show (using Smullyan's double recursion theorem or more particularly its  $n$ -ary generalization, see [5] Chapter IX) that for any  $n > 0$  there is a sequence

$$e_0 < e_1 < \cdots < e_n$$

such that  $W_{e_i} = \{e_{i+1}\}$  for  $i < n$  and  $W_{e_n} = \{e_0\}$ . It occurred to us to ask if it would be possible to have an infinite sequence like this. We show that it is.

Here is our main result:

**Theorem 1** *There is a strictly increasing sequence:*

$$e_0 < e_1 < \cdots < e_n < \cdots$$

such that

$$W_{e_n} = \{e_{n+1}\} \text{ for every } n.$$

Proof

We use  $W_{e,s}$  to denote the set of all  $y < s$  such that  $\psi_e(y)$  converges in less than  $s$ -steps. We use  $\langle x, y \rangle$  to denote a pairing function, a computable bijection from  $\omega^2$  to  $\omega$ , e.g.,  $\langle x, y \rangle = 2^x(2y + 1) - 1$ .

Let  $q(e, x)$  be a computable function such that for all  $x$  and  $e$ :

$$W_{q(e,x)} = \begin{cases} \{y\} & \text{if } (\exists s \exists y \in W_{e,s} \ y > x) \text{ and } \langle s, y \rangle \text{ is the least such pair} \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Such a  $q$  is constructed by a standard argument using the s-m-n or Parameterization Theorem. To see this one defines a partial computable function  $\theta$  as follows:

$$\theta(e, x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (\exists s \exists y \in W_{e,s} \ y > x) \text{ and } \langle s, y \rangle \text{ is the least such pair} \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The uparrow stands for a computation that diverges, i.e., does not halt. By the s-m-n Theorem there is a computable  $q$  such that

$$\psi_{q(e,x)}(y) = \theta(e, x, y) \text{ for all } e, x, y.$$

Using Lemma 1 let  $h$  be a computable function such that for every  $e$ , the set  $W_{h(e)}$  is an infinite set of fixed points for  $q(e, \cdot)$ , i.e.,  $W_x = W_{q(e,x)}$  for all  $x \in W_{h(e)}$ . Let  $e$  be a fixed point for  $h$ , so  $W_e = W_{h(e)}$ .

Let  $x$  be any element of  $W_e$ . Then  $x \in W_{h(e)}$  so  $W_x = W_{q(x,e)} = \{y\}$  where  $y > x$  and  $y \in W_e$ . Hence, starting with any  $e_0 \in W_e$  we get an infinite increasing sequence as required.

QED

Note that to obtain a sequence with  $W_{e_{n+1}} = \{e_n\}$  is trivial. Also note that the sequence in Theorem 1 must be computable. This is not necessarily true for our next result:

**Theorem 2** *There exists a computable strictly increasing sequence  $\langle e_n : n < \omega \rangle$  such that for every  $n$*

$$W_{e_n} = \{e_m : m > n\}.$$

Proof

Using the s-m-n Theorem find  $q$  a computable function such that for every  $x$  and  $e$ :

$$W_{q(e,x)} = \{\max(W_{e,s}) : s \in \omega\} \setminus \{0, 1, \dots, x\}.$$

As in the above proof, let  $h$  be a computable function such that for every  $e$ , the set  $W_{h(e)}$  is an infinite set of fixed points for  $q(e, \cdot)$ , i.e.,  $W_x = W_{q(e,x)}$  for all  $x \in W_{h(e)}$ . Let  $e$  be a fixed point for  $h$ , so  $W_e = W_{h(e)}$ .

Note that  $W_e$  is infinite and let

$$\{e_0 < e_1 < e_2 < \dots\} = \{\max(W_{e,s}) : s \in \omega\}.$$

For any  $x \in W_e = W_{h(e)}$  we have that

$$W_x = W_{q(e,x)} = \{\max(W_{e,s}) : s \in \omega\} \setminus \{0, 1, \dots, x\}.$$

Hence for any  $n$  we have that

$$W_{e_n} = \{e_m : m > n\}.$$

QED

A variation on this theorem would be to get a computable strictly increasing sequence  $e_0 < e_1 < \dots$  such that

$$\psi_{e_n}(m) = e_{n+m+1} \text{ for every } n, m < \omega.$$

The proof of this is left as an exercise for the reader.

Usually the first example given of an application of the Recursion Theorem is to prove that there exists an  $e$  such that  $W_e = \{e\}$ . We say that a nonempty computably enumerable set  $A$  is self-constructing iff for all  $e \in A$  we have that  $W_e = A$ . So  $W_e = \{e\}$  is an example of a self-constructing set. Our next result shows there are many self-constructing sets.

**Theorem 3** *For any nonempty computably enumerable set  $B$  the following are equivalent:*

1.  *$B$  is disjoint from an infinite computable set.*
2. *There is a computable permutation  $\pi$  of  $\omega$  such that  $A = \pi(B)$  and  $A$  is self-constructing.*

Proof

(2)  $\rightarrow$  (1)

Let  $E$  be an infinite computable set such that for every  $e \in E$  we have that  $W_e = \emptyset$ . Any self-constructing set is disjoint from  $E$ .

(1)  $\rightarrow$  (2)

Given any  $e$  consider the following computably enumerable set  $Q_e$ . Let

$$\{c_0 < c_1 < c_2 < \dots\} = \{\max(W_{e,s}) : s \in \omega\}$$

which may be finite or even empty. Then put

$$Q_e = \{c_n : n \in B\}.$$

By the s-m-n Theorem we can find a computable  $q$  such that for every  $e$ :

$$W_{q(e)} = Q_e.$$

By the Padding Lemma there is a computable  $h$  such that for every  $e$  the set  $W_{h(e)}$  is infinite and for all  $x \in W_{h(e)}$  we have that  $W_x = W_{q(e)}$ . Now let  $e$  be a fixed point for  $h$  so that  $W_{h(e)} = W_e$ . Let  $A = Q_e$ . Then for all  $x \in A$  we have that  $W_x = A$ . So  $A$  is self-constructing.

To get  $\pi$  let  $D$  and  $E$  be two infinite pairwise disjoint computable sets disjoint from  $B$ . Take one-one computable enumerations of them:

$$D = \{d_n : n < \omega\} \text{ and } E = \{e_n : n < \omega\}.$$

Note that  $W_e = W_{h(e)}$  is infinite and let  $C$  be the infinite computable set:

$$C = \{c_0 < c_1 < c_2 < \dots\} = \{\max(W_{e,s}) : s \in \omega\}.$$

Since  $W_e = W_{h(e)}$  is a set of fixed points, it is coinfinite and hence  $C \subseteq W_e$  is coinfinite. Take a one-one computable enumeration of the complement  $\overline{C}$  of  $C$ :

$$\overline{C} = \{\overline{c}_n : n < \omega\}.$$

Now we can define  $\pi$ :

$$\pi(c_n) = \begin{cases} d_{2n} & \text{if } n \in D \\ d_{2n+1} & \text{if } n \in E \\ n & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\pi(\overline{c}_n) = e_n.$$

Note that  $\pi$  bijectively maps  $C$  to  $\overline{E}$  and  $\overline{C}$  to  $E$ . Furthermore if  $n \in B$  then  $\pi(c_n) = n$ , and since  $A = \{c_n : n \in B\}$  we have that  $\pi(A) = B$ .  
QED

As a corollary we get that there are self-constructing sets of each finite cardinality and there is a self-constructing set which is not computable, in fact, there is a creative self-constructing set.

It is not hard to show that

$$S = \{e : W_e \text{ is self-constructing}\}$$

is  $\Pi_2^0$ -complete. To see this first note that it is easy to show that  $S$  is  $\Pi_2^0$ . We can get a many-one reduction  $f$  of

$$\text{Tot} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{e : W_e = \omega\}$$

to  $S$  as follows. Fix an infinite self-constructing set  $A$  with one-to-one computable enumeration  $A = \{a_n : n \in \omega\}$ . By the s-m-n Theorem construct a computable  $f$  so that for every  $e$ :

$$W_{f(e)} = \{a_n : n \in W_e\}.$$

Hence,  $e \in \text{Tot}$  iff  $f(e) \in S$ . Since  $\text{Tot}$  is  $\Pi_2^0$ -complete (see Soare [6] page 66),  $S$  is too.

## References

- [1] Cooper, S. Barry **Computability theory**. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2004. x+409 pp. ISBN: 1-58488-237-9
- [2] Miller, Arnold W. **Lecture Notes in Computability Theory**. eprint Jan 08: <http://www.math.wisc.edu/~miller/res>
- [3] Odifreddi, Piergiorgio **Classical recursion theory. The theory of functions and sets of natural numbers**. With a foreword by G. E. Sacks. Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, 125. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1989. xviii+668 pp. ISBN: 0-444-87295-7
- [4] Rogers, Hartley, Jr. **Theory of recursive functions and effective computability**. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York-Toronto, Ont.-London 1967 xx+482 pp.
- [5] Smullyan, Raymond M. **Recursion theory for metamathematics**. Oxford Logic Guides, 22. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1993. xvi+163 pp. ISBN: 0-19-508232-X
- [6] Soare, Robert I. **Recursively enumerable sets and degrees. A study of computable functions and computably generated sets**. Perspectives in Mathematical Logic. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987. xviii+437 pp. ISBN: 3-540-15299-7

Arnold W. Miller  
 miller@math.wisc.edu  
<http://www.math.wisc.edu/~miller>

University of Wisconsin-Madison  
Department of Mathematics, Van Vleck Hall  
480 Lincoln Drive  
Madison, Wisconsin 53706-1388

## Appendix

The appendix is not intended for final publication but for the on-line electronic version only.

**Theorem 1** *There exists an infinite strictly increasing sequence  $\langle e_n : n < \omega \rangle$  such that for every  $n$*

$$W_{e_n} = \{e_m : m > n\}$$

*and the sequence is not computable.*

**Proof**

This is a combination of the proof of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3. Fix  $B$  a computably enumerable but not computable set. For any  $e$  let

$$\{c_0 < c_1 < c_2 < \dots\} = \{\max(W_{e,s}) : s \in \omega\}$$

By the s-m-n Theorem find computable  $q$  such that for any  $x$  and  $e$

$$W_{q(e,x)} = \{c_n : n \in B \text{ and } c_n > x\}.$$

Take  $h$  computable so that  $W_{h(e)}$  is an infinite set of fixed points for  $q(e, \cdot)$ , i.e.,  $x \in W_{h(e)}$  implies  $W_x = W_{q(e,x)}$ . Take  $e$  to be a fixed point for  $h$ , so  $W_{h(e)} = W_e$ . Then putting

$$\{e_n : n < \omega\} = \{c_n : n \in B\}$$

is not computable and have the required property.

QED

**Theorem 2** *There is a computable strictly increasing sequence  $e_0 < e_1 < \dots$  such that*

$$\psi_{e_n}(m) = e_{n+m+1} \text{ for every } n, m < \omega.$$

**Proof**

As in the proof of Theorem 2 given any  $e$  let

$$\{e_0 < e_1 < e_2 < \dots\} = \{\max(W_{e,s}) : s \in \omega\}$$



and using the s-m-n Theorem find  $q$  a computable function such that for every  $x$ ,  $m$ , and  $e$ :

$$\psi_{q(e,x)}(m) = e_{n+m+1} \text{ where } n \text{ is minimal so that } e_n \geq x\}.$$

Let  $h$  be a computable function such that for every  $e$ , the set  $W_{h(e)}$  is an infinite set of fixed points for  $q(e, \cdot)$ , i.e.,  $\psi_x = \psi_{q(e,x)}$  for all  $x \in W_{h(e)}$ . Let  $e$  be a fixed point for  $h$ , so  $W_e = W_{h(e)}$ .

Now for any  $n$  we have that  $e_n \in W_e = W_{h(e)}$  and so  $\psi_{e_n} = \psi_{q(e,e_n)}$  and hence for every  $m$ :

$$\psi_{e_n}(m) = e_{n+m+1}.$$

QED